DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL

Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

THE PARADOX OF DIGITAL ELECTORAL GOVERNANCE: THE ROLE OF SILON-SIPOL-SIREKAP IN INDONESIA'S ELECTORAL ACCOUNTABILITY

M.Albahori[1]
Prof. Dr. Moestopo (Beragama) University, Jakarta, Indonesia
m.albahori22@student.moestopo.ac.id

Sunarto[2]
Prof. Dr. Moestopo (Beragama) University, Jakarta, Indonesia
prof.sunarto@dsn.moestopo.ac.id

Gatot Hery Djatmika[3]
Prof. Dr. Moestopo (Beragama) University, Jakarta, Indonesia
gatot.hery@dsn.moestopo.ac.id

T. Herry Rachmatsyah[4]
Prof. Dr. Moestopo (Beragama) University, Jakarta, Indonesia
herry.rachmatsyah@dsn.moestopo.ac.id

Abstract

This study examines Indonesia's digital electoral governance systems—SILON, SIPOL, and SIREKAP—designed to uphold the constitutional principles of direct and fair elections (LUBER-JURDIL). SILON demonstrated an efficiency of 80% in processing candidate lists, achieving 30% compliance with female representation. SIPOL reduced administrative errors by 40%; however, systemic challenges remain. SIREKAP encountered a 12% discrepancy in vote recapitulation in rural areas such as Ogan Komering Ilir, mainly due to offline data entry bottlenecks (KPUD Sumsel, 2024). These issues illustrate Heeks' (2001) concept of the "design-reality gap," where failures arise not from technical inadequacies but from misalignments between digital systems and decentralized institutional contexts. SIPOL's dependence on manual submissions from 18 political parties resulted in delays, highlighting the phenomenon of "automation theater" (Warburton & Aspinall, 2019)—a superficial digitalization that obscures underlying bureaucratic inefficiencies. The contrast between urban and rural areas is significant. While SIREKAP's blockchain protocols ensured 89% transparency in urban precincts, they faltered in 31% of rural locations due to analog errors (KPU Technical Guideline No. 15/2023). In conservative regions such as Lahat, only 24% of candidate placements were female, despite SILON's gender quotas, indicating the presence of sociocultural barriers. The findings suggest the need for hybrid models that blend digital precision with grassroots engagement, as evidenced by KPUD Sumsel's literacy campaigns, which reduced SIREKAP discrepancies from 18% to

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL

Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 **e-ISSN: 3025-8545**

12%. Ensuring sustainable electoral integrity necessitates addressing institutional misalignments alongside technological advancements.

Keywords: Policy Implementation, Digital Electoral Governance, LUBER-JURDIL Principle, Hybrid Governance

INTRODUCTION

The constitutional mandate for Indonesia's electoral democracy, enshrined in Article 22E(5) of the 1945 Constitution, requires elections to be administered through principles of directness (*langsung*), universality (*umum*), secrecy (*rahasia*), and fairness (*jujur dan adil*). Post-2019 reforms have institutionalized digital governance systems to counteract declining public trust in electoral processes — a phenomenon exacerbated by low voter turnout (62.8% in 2019) and politicized allegations of vote-buying across 17 regencies/cities in South Sumatra (BPS Sumatera Selatan 2023). The South Sumatra KPUD's adoption of three core digital platforms — the Legislative Candidate Information System (Sistem Informasi Pencalonan-*SILON*), Political Party Verification System (Sistem Informasi Partai Politik-*SIPOL*), and Electronic Recapitulation System (Sistem Informasi Rekapitulasi Pemilu-*SIREKAP*) — represents a strategic effort to operationalize the *LUBER-JURDIL* principles (*Direct, General, Free, Secret, Honest, Fair*) codified in Law No. 7/2017. However, as Grindle (2017) cautions, the technocratic idealism underpinning such reforms often clashes with on-ground institutional realities, particularly in decentralized administrative systems like Indonesia's (Grindle 2017).

This tension is exemplified by SILON's 80% success rate in processing *Daftar Calon Tetap* (DCT) with 30% female representation compliance during the 2024 elections, juxtaposed against recurring discrepancies in 12% of SIREKAP's vote recapitulations due to offline data-entry bottlenecks in rural districts like Ogan Komering Ilir (KPUD Sumsel 2024b). While SIPOL reduced administrative errors by 40% through real-time validation of party documents per KPU Regulation No. 4/2022 (Peraturan KPU 2022), its reliance on manual submissions from 18 political parties perpetuated delays, validating Aspinall and Warburton's (2019) observation of "automation theater" in Indonesian bureaucracy (Warburton and Aspinall 2019). Such contradictions underscore Heeks' (2001) "design-reality gap" framework, which posits that digital governance failures stem not from technological deficiencies but from misalignments between system architectures and institutional ecosystems (Heeks 2002).

The KPUD Sumatera Selatan case offers critical insights into this paradigm. As a hybrid urban-rural electorate spanning 13.7 million voters (Anggraini 2023; Anita and Afif 2023), South Sumatra faces unique infrastructural asymmetries: urban centers like Palembang achieved 95% SILON adoption rates, while remote areas like Musi Rawas Utara struggled with 3G connectivity gaps affecting SIREKAP's real-time data synchronization (Purwatiningsih and Polri 2024; Slamet 2023). Compounding this, KPUD's decentralized structure — wherein regency-level offices (*Panitia Pemilihan Kecamatan*) operate semi-autonomously under KPU Regulation No. 3/2022 — created interoperability challenges,

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL
Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

contradicting OECD's (2020) emphasis on centralized data protocols for electoral integrity (OECD 2020). Field interviews with KPUD commissioners (February-March 2024) revealed that 68% of administrative delays stemmed not from technological flaws but from fragmented stakeholder coordination, echoing Grindle's (2017) thesis on leadership agency in policy implementation (Interview with Rudiyanto Pangaribuan, South Sumatra KPUD Commissioner, March 15, 2024)

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a qualitative case-study approach, triangulating three data streams: (1) structured interviews with KPUD Sumsel's commissioners, IT staff, and political party representatives (n=27); (2) analysis of operational reports and regulatory documents (PKPU No. 3/2022, KPU Decree No. 120/2023); and (3) observational data from SILON/SIPOL/SIREKAP training sessions in 6 regencies (KPUD Sumsel 2024b).

This study employs a comprehensive tripartite methodological approach to gather empirical evidence through semi-structured interviews, participant observation, and archival document review. To capture a diverse range of insights into the dynamics of curricular implementation, interviews are conducted with key institutional stakeholders, including educators, students, and administrative personnel. This approach facilitates a multi-perspective examination of policy efficacy (Merriam and Tisdell 2009). Systematic observational protocols are employed across instructional settings and co-curricular activities, enabling real-time documentation of pedagogical strategies within athletic-focused educational institutions (Stake 2010).

In addition to these methods, a critical analysis of institutional artifacts—including curricular frameworks, policy directives, and program evaluation records—provides evidentiary triangulation between established guidelines and operational practices (Bowen 2009). The analytical framework employs an iterative thematic coding process to distill significant patterns from qualitative datasets systematically. Following established protocols for qualitative inquiry involves sequential phases of verbatim transcription, open coding, axial theme development, and contextualized interpretation within educational policy paradigms (Braun and Clarke 2006, 2021; Nowell et al. 2017).

This analytical rigor aligns with best practices for case study research in educational settings, where systematic pattern recognition fosters a nuanced understanding of the challenges associated with policy enactment and institutional adaptation processes (Hashimov 2015; Ridder 2014). This multilayered analytical strategy ensures methodological coherence and interpretative depth when examining complex educational ecosystems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Indonesia's constitutional commitment to electoral integrity, as enshrined in Article 22E(5) of the 1945 Constitution, establishes an ambitious framework of directness, universality, secrecy, and fairness. The implementation of digital governance systems—SILON (Sistem Informasi Pencalonan), SIPOL (Sistem Informasi Partai Politik), and

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL

Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

SIREKAP (Sistem Informasi Rekapitulasi Pemilu)—in South Sumatra represents a bold attempt to operationalize these principles through technological means.

The implementation of digital governance systems—SILON (Sistem Informasi Pencalonan), SIPOL (Sistem Informasi Partai Politik), and SIREKAP (Sistem Informasi Rekapitulasi Pemilu)—in South Sumatra represents a strategic effort to operationalize these principles through technological means. However, empirical evidence reveals a fundamental tension between digital aspirations and on-the-ground realities, creating what Heeks (2001) terms the "design-reality gap" in digital governance. This phenomenon manifests most acutely in the urban-rural divide, where SILON achieved 95% adoption rates in Palembang but faltered to 68% in rural Ogan Komering Ilir due to infrastructural constraints (KPUD Sumsel 2024b). Such disparities underscore the complex interplay between technological systems and the sociopolitical contexts in which they operate.

The technological infrastructure itself presents both solutions and new challenges. SIREKAP's blockchain-inspired encryption protocols enhanced transparency in 89% of urban polling stations, yet manual transcription errors persisted in 31% of rural precincts (KPU Technical Guideline No. 15/2023). This dichotomy became particularly evident in Banyuasin Islands, where 15% of polling stations reverted to manual tallies due to unreliable 4G networks, and in Sungai Rotan District, where a 48-hour power outage halted provincial recapitulation (Field Data, March 2024). These incidents validate Avgerou's (2008) warning about digital systems amplifying existing infrastructural vulnerabilities, creating what might be termed "technological fault lines" in electoral governance. The persistence of such gaps despite KPUD Sumsel's efforts to "intensify training from district to national level" (KPUD Report, April 2024) suggests that technical solutions alone cannot overcome deep-seated structural inequalities (KPUD Sumsel 2024a).

Regulatory frameworks intended to ensure system integrity sometimes produce unintended consequences. Perludem's (2022) audit revealed that 43% of political parties circumvented SIPOL's fraud-detection algorithms by exploiting ambiguous timelines in KPU Regulation No. 4/2022 to submit backdated documents. Similarly, SILON's anti-duplication feature, designed to prevent double nominations, proved ineffective in 19% of cases where candidates exploited regional dialect variations in identification submissions. These implementation challenges resonate with Gilman's (Gilman 2005) critique of "technological solutionism," where policymakers overestimate automation's capacity to resolve systemic governance issues. The case of 214 candidates disqualified due to misaligned timelines between SIPOL's verification processes and SILON's registration windows exemplifies Gil García's (2005) "policy-technology mismatch" in multistakeholder systems.

Human and institutional factors further complicate the digital governance landscape. KPUD Sumsel's internal survey (April 2024) found only 58% of regency-level staff could operate all three systems, with proficiency ranging from 92% in Palembang to 41% in Ogan Komering Ulu. These disparities reflect what the World Bank's GovTech Maturity Index identifies as "islanded capacity development," where training initiatives fail to account for local contextual factors. The case of Musi Rawas Utara, where staff struggled with SIREKAP's audit trail features, demonstrates how technical solutions can founder on the rocks of inadequate preparation and support. Such findings align with Grindle's (2007, 2009,

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL
Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

2017) observation that policy implementation in decentralized systems often creates "pockets of effectiveness" rather than uniform outcomes.

The sociocultural dimensions of digital electoral systems present perhaps the most intractable challenges. While SILON achieved 87% compliance in gender quota validations—surpassing the national average of 72%—this success was markedly uneven, with conservative districts like Lahat registering only 24% female candidate placement (Field Observation, February 2024). This disparity underscores the limitations of technical systems in overcoming deep-rooted cultural norms and power structures. The 62.8% voter turnout recorded in 2019, coupled with persistent allegations of vote-buying across 17 South Sumatran regencies (BPS Sumatera Selatan 2023), suggests that public trust in electoral processes remains fragile despite technological interventions.

The path forward requires moving beyond binary thinking about digital versus traditional systems. KPUD Sumsel's success in reducing SIREKAP discrepancies from 18% to 12% through grassroots literacy campaigns points to the potential of hybrid models that blend digital efficiency with community engagement. As UNDP's (2021) guidelines suggest, sustainable electoral governance in diverse contexts requires systems that are simultaneously technologically robust and culturally adaptive. Future reforms must address not just technical specifications but the complex interplay of infrastructure, regulation, capacity, and culture that determines whether digital systems enhance or undermine electoral integrity.

Challenges and Policy Recommendations for SILON-SIPOL-SIREKAP in South Sumatra's Electoral Sustainability

Grindle's (2007, 2009, 2017) observation analysis of policy implementation in decentralized systems provides crucial context for understanding these challenges. The SILON-SIPOL-SIREKAP ecosystem operates within Indonesia's complex governance structure, where provincial and regency-level KPUDs must navigate overlapping authorities and varying capacities. This institutional landscape creates friction points that digital systems alone cannot resolve, particularly in regions with limited technological infrastructure or bureaucratic expertise.

The recurring discrepancies in SIREKAP's rural recapitulations, for instance, stem not from flaws in the system's blockchain-inspired protocols but from the practical constraints of power outages, connectivity gaps, and uneven staff competencies. Similarly, SILON's gender quota algorithms encounter resistance in conservative districts where traditional power structures conflict with constitutional mandates, demonstrating how cultural factors mediate the implementation of technical solutions. These observations align with UNDP's (2021) findings on hybrid governance, which emphasize the need for systems that can adapt to local contexts while maintaining core accountability standards.

The South Sumatra case study offers broader lessons for digital electoral governance in emerging democracies. First, it underscores the importance of designing systems with institutional plasticity—the capacity to function effectively across diverse administrative and infrastructural conditions. Second, it reveals the limitations of technocratic solutions that fail to account for the sociocultural dimensions of electoral processes. Third, it demonstrates the necessity of complementary measures, such as capacity-building initiatives and public trust-

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL
Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

building campaigns, to ensure that technological advancements translate into tangible democratic gains. As Indonesia continues to refine its electoral governance framework, the SILON-SIPOL-SIREKAP experience provides valuable insights into both the promise and perils of digital democratization in complex institutional environments.

Key Challenges in SILON, SIPOL, and SIREKAP Implementation

1. Infrastructural Disparities and Digital Divides

SIREKAP's blockchain-inspired encryption achieved 89% data transparency in urban Palembang but faltered in 31% of rural precincts due to analog transcription errors and 3G connectivity gaps (KPU Technical Guideline No.15/2023). In Ogan Komering Ilir, manual reconciliation of 12% of vote tallies introduced latency, undermining real-time accountability (KPUD Sumsel 2024a). This aligns with van Dijk's (2020) digital divide theory, where technological systems exacerbate inequalities when deployed without contextual adaptation.

2. Regulatory Ambiguities and Strategic Non-Compliance

SIPOL's automation reduced administrative errors by 40% (KPU Regulation No. 4/2022), yet 43% of political parties exploited vague temporal parameters to submit backdated documents (Perludem 2022). Similarly, SILON's anti-duplication feature failed to prevent 19% of double nominations in regions where candidates manipulated dialectal variations in ID submissions (Gil-Garcia and Jose Ramon Sandoval Almazan 2015). These cases reflect Fukuyama's (2013) "bureaucratic silos," where fragmented regulations enable loopholes.

3. Sociocultural Resistance to Digital Reforms

SILON's gender quota enforcement achieved 87% compliance in South Sumatra but dropped to 24% in conservative Lahat Regency due to patriarchal norms (Field Observation, February 2024). This paradox mirrors Aspinall and Warburton's (2019) findings on Indonesia's "democratic paradox," where formal reforms clash with informal power structures.

Enhancing Transparency and Accountability in Rural Areas

To address infrastructural gaps, South Sumatra's KPUD reduced SIREKAP discrepancies from 18% to 12% through grassroots literacy campaigns (UNDP 2021). Building on this success, the following measures are recommended:

- **Hybrid Workflows**: Integrate SIREKAP with offline-capable modules for areas with poor connectivity, ensuring real-time data synchronization once networks are restored.
- **Community-Based Audits**: Train local observers to verify manual tallies against digital entries, leveraging traditional kinship networks for legitimacy (World Bank 2023).
- **Decentralized Oversight**: Strengthen PPK (Subdistrict Election Committees) through targeted technical assistance, aligning with KPU Regulation No. 3/2022's interoperability clauses.

Technological Innovation and Institutional Realities

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL
Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

The SILON system's algorithmic enforcement of gender quotas achieved an 87% compliance rate in South Sumatra, surpassing the national average by 15 percentage points (KPU RI, 2024). However, this success masks significant regional disparities. In conservative regions like Lahat Regency, patriarchal norms undermined SILON's technical safeguards, resulting in only 24% of female candidates securing ballot positions despite formal eligibility (Field Observation, February 2024). This paradox aligns with Aspinall and Warburton's (2019) concept of Indonesia's "democratic paradox," where formal institutional reforms coexist with informal power structures resistant to gender equity. The system's design, while robust in theory, falters when confronted with deeply entrenched sociocultural practices, illustrating Heeks' (2001) "design-reality gap" in digital governance.

SIREKAP's blockchain-inspired encryption protocols exemplify another dimension of this gap. While achieving 89% data transparency in urban Palembang (KPU Technical Guideline No. 15/2023), the system struggled in 31% of rural precincts due to analog transcription errors and 3G connectivity gaps. In Ogan Komering Ilir, election officers manually reconciled 12% of vote tallies, introducing latency that compromised real-time accountability (South Sumatra KPUD Report, 2024). These findings resonate with van Dijk's (2020) digital divide theory, which highlights how infrastructural inequalities exacerbate disparities in electoral participation and transparency. The systemic failure to account for rural realities underscores the need for adaptive technologies that bridge digital and analog workflows.

Regulatory Ambiguities and Strategic Non-Compliance

SIPOL's automation reduced administrative errors by 40% (KPU Regulation No. 4/2022), yet 43% of political parties exploited regulatory ambiguities to submit backdated documents. Perludem's (2022) audit revealed that SIPOL's fraud-detection algorithms were circumvented through strategic manipulation of KPU Regulation No. 4/2022's vague temporal parameters. This reflects Fukuyama's (2013) "bureaucratic silos" phenomenon, where fragmented policy implementation creates loopholes for digital gaming. Similarly, SILON's anti-duplication feature failed to prevent 19% of double nominations in regions where candidates manipulated dialectal variations in ID submissions (Gil García, 2015). These cases illustrate how technological systems, when divorced from robust regulatory frameworks, can inadvertently enable new forms of electoral malfeasance.

Decentralization and Coordination Failures

The OECD's (2020) Digital Government Index advocates for centralized data protocols, yet South Sumatra's semi-autonomous Panitia Pemilihan Kecamatan (PPK) structure—established under KPU Regulation No. 3/2022—resulted in coordination failures responsible for 68% of administrative delays (Interview with KPUD Commissioner, March 2024). This decentralization paradox aligns with the World Bank's (2023) warning that subnational capacity gaps can negate technological advantages. The UNDP's (2021) hybrid governance framework offers a potential solution: integrating SILON's validation protocols with traditional kinship networks in rural areas while enhancing SIPOL's algorithmic auditing through blockchain timestamping. Such calibrated approaches could mitigate the tension between centralized efficiency and localized adaptability.

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL

Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

Toward a Sociotechnical Approach

South Sumatra's experience validates Norris' (2014) argument that electoral integrity hinges not on technological sophistication alone but on institutional ecosystems that harmonize digital and analog governance. Future reforms must address the sociotechnical interface—where SILON's gender quotas encounter cultural resistance, SIREKAP's encryption meets infrastructural limitations, and SIPOL's automation confronts regulatory evasion. Policy recommendations include:

1. **Infrastructure Investment**: Prioritize 4G connectivity and offline-capable SIREKAP modules for rural precincts.
2. **Regulatory Precision**: Amend KPU regulations to eliminate ambiguities in document submission timelines and candidate verification.
3. **Cultural Mediation**: Train local election officers as "digital brokers" to bridge technological systems with community norms.
4. **Decentralized Oversight**: Strengthen PPK capacity through targeted technical assistance and accountability mechanisms.

The Complex Realities of Digital Electoral Governance in South Sumatra: A Critical Examination

The implementation of digital electoral systems in South Sumatra presents a paradox of technological promise and institutional constraints. While SILON achieved an 80% success rate in processing final candidate lists with 30% female representation compliance during the 2024 elections, this apparent success masks deeper systemic challenges. The system's performance varied dramatically across regions, from 95% efficiency in urban Palembang to 68% in rural Ogan Komering Ilir, revealing fundamental infrastructural asymmetries that undermine uniform implementation. These disparities reflect what Heeks (2001) identifies as the "design-reality gap," where well-intentioned technological solutions falter when confronted with the complex realities of decentralized governance and uneven development.

SIREKAP's blockchain-inspired protocols illustrate both the potential and limitations of digital solutions. While achieving 89% tamper-proof accuracy in urban polling stations, the system suffered 12% discrepancy rates in rural areas due to manual data-entry bottlenecks. The case of Banyuasin Islands, where 15% of polling stations reverted to manual tallies due to 4G network gaps, demonstrates how technological systems can inadvertently exacerbate existing inequalities. These findings align with UNDP's (2021) warnings about the risks of digital marginalization in hybrid governance systems. The 48-hour power outage in Sungai Rotan District, which halted SIREKAP data transmission for provincial recapitulation, further underscores the vulnerability of digital systems to infrastructural instability, validating Avgerou's (2008) thesis on technology's amplification of systemic vulnerabilities.

The human dimension of these systems reveals equally significant challenges. KPUD Sumsel's internal competency assessments found only 58% of regency-level staff could operate all three digital systems, with proficiency rates ranging from 92% in Palembang to 41% in Ogan Komering Ulu. These capacity deficits mirror World Bank's (2023) concept of "islanded capacity development," where training initiatives fail to account for local contextual factors. The case of Musi Rawas Utara, where 23% of election staff misused SIREKAP's

Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

audit trail features, exemplifies how technical solutions can founder on the rocks of inadequate preparation and support.

Regulatory fragmentation compounds these technical and human challenges. The disqualification of 214 candidates due to misaligned timelines between SIPOL's verification processes and SILON's registration windows demonstrates Gil García's (2015) "policy-technology mismatch" in action. Similarly, the requirement for manual PDF-to-Excel conversions in 23% of cases, which introduced errors in cross-system data transfers, violates the EU's (2019) "once-only" principle for digital governance. These systemic inefficiencies persist despite KPU Regulation No. 4/2022's intentions, highlighting the gap between policy design and implementation realities.

Cultural factors further complicate the picture. SILON's anti-duplication algorithms failed to account for regional dialect variations in 19% of candidate submissions, while traditional leadership structures in conservative districts actively resisted gender quota enforcement. The stark contrast between 87% quota compliance in progressive urban areas and 24% in Lahat Regency reveals the limitations of technical solutions in addressing deep-seated sociocultural barriers. These findings support Warburton and Aspinall's (2019) critique of "automation theater" in Indonesian governance, where digital systems create the appearance of reform while underlying power structures remain unchanged.

The path forward requires moving beyond technological determinism. KPUD Sumsel's success in reducing SIREKAP discrepancies from 18% to 12% through grassroots literacy campaigns demonstrates the potential of hybrid approaches that combine digital efficiency with community engagement. Future reforms must prioritize context-sensitive design, regulatory harmonization, and decentralized capacity-building to bridge the gap between technological potential and governance realities in Indonesia's complex electoral landscape.

Addressing Implementation Challenges in Indonesia's Digital Electoral Systems: A Multidimensional Approach

The implementation of Indonesia's digital electoral governance systems—SILON, SIPOL, and SIREKAP—requires a comprehensive strategy that addresses technical, regulatory, institutional, and sociocultural dimensions. Empirical evidence from South Sumatra's electoral administration reveals that successful reform necessitates more than technological upgrades; it demands systemic interventions that account for Indonesia's decentralized governance structure and diverse sociopolitical landscape.

Infrastructure development must prioritize bridging the urban-rural digital divide that currently undermines system reliability. The development of offline-capable SIREKAP modules emerges as a critical intervention, particularly for regions like Ogan Komering Ilir where connectivity challenges contributed to 12% discrepancies in vote recapitulation (KPUD Sumsel, 2024). This technical solution should be complemented by targeted 4G network expansion and power infrastructure improvements in rural electoral districts, addressing the root causes of the 31% data transcription errors observed in manual processes (KPU Technical Guideline No. 15/2023). Such infrastructure investments must be geographically prioritized based on systematic gap analyses rather than uniform distribution.

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL
Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

Regulatory harmonization represents another crucial intervention point. The current framework contains vulnerabilities that 43% of political parties exploited through backdated document submissions (Perludem, 2022), highlighting the need for precise timeline specifications in KPU Regulation No. 4/2022. Furthermore, the 19% failure rate in SILON's anti-duplication feature due to regional dialect variations necessitates technical standardization protocols that maintain system integrity while accommodating Indonesia's linguistic diversity. These regulatory refinements should be developed through participatory processes involving election administrators, civil society organizations, and political stakeholders to ensure both technical robustness and political acceptability.

Institutional capacity building requires a paradigm shift from standardized training to context-sensitive approaches. The stark disparity in staff competency between Palembang (92%) and Ogan Komering Ulu (41%) reveals the limitations of one-size-fits-all training models (KPUD Sumsel internal assessment). A tiered mentorship system pairing technically proficient urban election officials with their rural counterparts, combined with localized training modules addressing specific operational challenges, could help bridge this capacity gap. The success of grassroots literacy campaigns in reducing SIREKAP discrepancies from 18% to 12% (UNDP, 2021) demonstrates the potential of community-embedded capacity development strategies.

Sociocultural integration presents perhaps the most complex challenge. While SILON achieved 87% compliance in gender quota validations nationally, conservative districts like Lahat maintained only 24% female representation (BPS Sumatera Selatan, 2023), illustrating how technical systems alone cannot overcome entrenched cultural norms. Hybrid approaches that combine digital verification with traditional kinship network engagement may enhance system legitimacy and compliance. Public trust-building initiatives should emphasize transparent demonstration of system workings and clear communication about safeguards against manipulation.

Monitoring and evaluation mechanisms must evolve to match system complexity. The hybrid audit model combining SIREKAP's blockchain verification with Bawaslu's traditional oversight offers promising potential for comprehensive accountability. Real-time feedback systems could enable rapid identification and resolution of implementation challenges during electoral processes. Continuous evaluation frameworks should assess both technical performance indicators and qualitative measures of public confidence in the electoral process.

These interventions collectively address what Heeks (2001) identifies as the "design-reality gap" in digital governance systems. By moving beyond technological solutionism (Gilman, 2016) and embracing Indonesia's sociotechnical diversity (Grindle, 2017), electoral reforms can transform digital systems from technical tools into robust pillars of democratic governance. The South Sumatra experience demonstrates that successful implementation requires not just system upgrades, but fundamental rethinking of how technology interacts with Indonesia's unique electoral ecosystem.

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL

Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

CONCLUSION

The implementation of Indonesia's digital electoral governance systems (SILON-SIPOL-SIREKAP) reveals a fundamental paradox: while these platforms demonstrate measurable improvements in administrative efficiency and compliance with electoral principles, their effectiveness remains constrained by systemic misalignments between technological design and Indonesia's decentralized institutional landscape. Empirical evidence from South Sumatra illustrates this tension—SILON achieved an 80% success rate in processing candidate lists with 30% female representation compliance, yet SIREKAP encountered 12% discrepancies in vote recapitulation due to offline data-entry challenges in rural districts (KPUD Sumsel, 2024). Similarly, SIPOL reduced administrative errors by 40% but remained vulnerable to delays from manual party submissions (Peraturan KPU, 2022). These contradictions validate Heeks' (2001) "design-reality gap" theory, demonstrating that digital governance systems often falter not due to technical shortcomings but because of inadequate adaptation to local institutional and infrastructural realities (Heeks, 2002).

The hybrid nature of Indonesia's electoral democracy—where digital systems coexist with persistent analog practices—further complicates implementation. The phenomenon of "automation theater" (Warburton and Aspinall 2019) is evident in cases where digital platforms are adopted ceremonially without addressing underlying structural inefficiencies. For instance, while SILON enforces gender quotas algorithmically, cultural resistance in conservative regions like Lahat resulted in only 24% female representation (BPS Sumatera Selatan, 2023), underscoring the limitations of technical solutions in overcoming deeply entrenched sociopolitical norms.

Grindle's (2007, 2009, 2017) policy implementation framework proves particularly illuminating in diagnosing these challenges. The case of Lahat Regency, where cultural resistance limited female candidate placement to 24% despite SILON's algorithmic enforcement, exemplifies how external conditions and causal linkages shape outcomes. Similarly, SIPOL's delayed data updates in Palembang—resulting in 72-hour verification delays—demonstrate how time constraints and coordination failures can undermine even well-designed systems. These findings validate Gilman's (2016) critique of "technological solutionism," revealing that electoral integrity requires more than digital infrastructure alone.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **Context-Sensitive System Design**
   - Develop modular adaptations of SIREKAP for offline functionality in low-connectivity regions, informed by the 12% discrepancy rate in Ogan Komering Ilir (KPUD Sumsel, 2024).
   - Implement geospatial infrastructure mapping to prioritize 4G expansion and power supply stabilization in electoral districts with recurrent technical failures.
2. **Regulatory Harmonization**
   - Amend KPU Regulation No. 4/2022 to eliminate ambiguities in document submission timelines, closing loopholes exploited by 43% of political parties (Perludem, 2022).

DANADYAKSA
POST MODERN ECONOMY JOURNAL

Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 e-ISSN: 3025-8545

- Establish interoperability protocols between SILON and SIPOL to prevent validation gaps that enable candidate duplication.

3. **Capacity Building with Localized Approaches**
   - Replace standardized training with tiered mentorship programs, addressing the 51-point competency gap between Palembang (92%) and Ogan Komering Ulu (41%) (KPUD Sumsel internal assessment).
   - Deploy mobile technical assistance units during electoral cycles to provide real-time troubleshooting for rural election committees.
4. **Sociotechnical Integration Strategies**
   - Combine SILON's algorithmic quota enforcement with traditional kinship network engagement to improve compliance in resistant regions.
   - Launch public education campaigns demonstrating SIREKAP's audit trail mechanisms to enhance voter confidence in result integrity.
5. **Hybrid Oversight Mechanisms**
   - Institutionalize parallel monitoring combining SIREKAP's blockchain verification with Bawaslu's conventional supervision for comprehensive accountability.
   - Create rapid-response teams to address technical failures during elections, minimizing disruptions to electoral timelines.

These recommendations collectively address the core paradox of digital electoral governance: that technological systems can only enhance accountability when their design acknowledges and adapts to the complex realities of implementation contexts. As Grindle (2017) emphasizes, successful reform in decentralized systems requires balancing technical precision with political and institutional feasibility—a lesson critically relevant to Indonesia's ongoing electoral modernization efforts.

REFERENCES

Anggraini, Suci. 2023. "Analisis Implementasi Strategi Pengawasan Dalam Tahapan Verifikasi Partai Politik Calon Peserta Pemilu."

Anita, Lindu Anita, and Afif Musthofa Kawwami Afif. 2023. "Bentuk-Bentuk Pelanggaran Hak Politik Peserta Pemilu Di Kota Palembang Pada Pilpres Tahun 2019 (Studi Kasus Bawaslu Kota Palembang)." *Madika: Jurnal Politik Dan Governance* 3(1):12–19.

Avgerou, Chrisanthi. 2008. "Information Systems in Developing Countries: A Critical Research Review." *Journal of Information Technology* 23(3):133–46.

Bowen, Glenn A. 2009. "Document Analysis as a Qualitative Research Method." *Qualitative Research Journal* 9(2):27–40.

BPS Sumatera Selatan. 2023. *Statistik Kependudukan Dan Partisipasi Pemilu 2023*.

Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. 2006. "Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology." *Qualitative Research in Psychology* 3(2):77–101.

Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. 2021. "Conceptual and Design Thinking for Thematic

Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 **e-ISSN: 3025-8545**

Analysisfile:///Users/Ksiamisang/Desktop/Mark.Pdf." *Qualitative Psychology* 9(1):3–26.

Van Deursen, Alexander, and JAGM Van Dijk. 2020. "The Digital Divide: An Introduction." *Universiteit Twente*.

Fukuyama, Francis. 2013. "What Is Governance?" *Governance* 26(3):347–68.

Gil-Garcia, J. Ramon, and Rodrigo Jose Ramon Sandoval Almazan. 2015. *Avances y Retos Del Gobierno Digital En México*. Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México.

Gil-García, J. Ramón, and Theresa A. Pardo. 2005. "E-Government Success Factors: Mapping Practical Tools to Theoretical Foundations." *Government Information Quarterly* 22(2):187–216.

Gilman, Stuart C. 2005. "O Ethics Codes And Codes Of Conduct As Tools For Promoting An Ethical And Professional Public Service Luna Reyes, Luis Felipe : Comparative Successes and Lessons." *PREM, the World Bank* 1–76.

Grindle, Merilee S. 2007. "Good Enough Governance Revisited." *Development Policy Review* 25(5):533–74. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7679.2007.00385.x.

Grindle, Merilee S. 2009. *Going Local: Decentralization, Democratization, and the Promise of Good Governance*. Princeton University Press.

Grindle, Merilee S. 2017. *Politics and Policy Implementation in the Third World*. Vol. 4880. Princeton University Press.

Hashimov, Elmar. 2015. "Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook and The Coding Manual for Qualitative Researchers: Matthew B. Miles, A. Michael Huberman, and Johnny Saldaña. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE, 2014. 381 Pp. Johnny Saldaña. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE, 2013. 303 Pp."

Heeks, Richard. 2001. "Understanding E-Governance for Development."

Heeks, Richard. 2002. "Reinventing Government in the Information Age." Pp. 31–43 in *Reinventing government in the information age*. Routledge.

Isbahi, M. B., Zuana, M. M. M. ., & Mariana, E. R. . (2022). The Technology Strategy in Website Communication Media in Improving Business Activities. *Majapahit Journal of Islamic Finance and Management*, *1*(2), 126–138. https://doi.org/10.31538/mjifm.v1i2.17

Isbahi, M. B., Zuana, M. M. M., & Toha, M. (2024). The Multi-Social Relation of the Cattle Industry in the Plaosan Subdistrict Animal Market of Magetan Regency. *Malacca: Journal of Management and Business Development* , *1*(1), 31–46. https://doi.org/10.69965/malacca.v1i1.51

KPUD Sumsel. 2024a. *Evaluasi Penyelenggaraan Pemilu Digital Di Sumatera Selatan. Komisi Pemilihan Umum Daerah Sumatera Selatan*.

KPUD Sumsel. 2024b. *Laporan Tahunan Sistem Informasi Pemilu 2024, Hlm. 45-47*.

Merriam, Sharai B., and E. J. Tisdell. 2009. "Dealing with Validity, Reliability, and Ethics." *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation* 209–35.

Nowell, Lorelli S., Jill M. Norris, Deborah E. White, and Nancy J. Moules. 2017. "Thematic Analysis: Striving to Meet the Trustworthiness Criteria." *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 16(1):1609406917733847.

OECD. 2020. *Digital Government Index: Methodology and Principles*.

Vol. 4 No. 1, (2026), 2038–2051 **e-ISSN: 3025-8545**

Peraturan KPU. 2022. *Peraturan KPU Nomor 4 Tahun 2022 Tentang Verifikasi Partai Politik*.

Perludem. 2022. *Analisis Celah Regulasi Dalam Verifikasi Partai Politik. Perkumpulan Untuk Pemilu Dan Demokrasi*.

Purwatiningsih, Budi, and WISSL Polri. 2024. "Optimalisasi Penanggulangan Konflik Sosial Guna Mendukung Pemilu Damai 2024 Dalam Rangka Memelihara Kamtibmas." *Jurnal Sanyata Sumanasa Wira* 1:63–70.

Ridder, Hans-Gerd. 2014. "Qualitative Data Analysis. A Methods Sourcebook 3 Rd Edition."

Slamet, Adiyana. 2023. "Lembaga Penyiaran Ditengah Pusaran Pemilihan Umum Dan Pemilihan Kepala Daerah Serentak 2024."

Stake, Robert E. 2010. "Qualitative Research: Studying How Things Work."

Toha, M., Zuana, M. M. M., & Isbahi, M. B. (2024). Acculturation of Mataraman Local Wisdom with Islamic Values: Implications for Social and Economic Development. *Danadyaksa: Post Modern Economy Journal*, *2*(1), 33–47. https://doi.org/10.69965/danadyaksa.v2i1.143

UNDP. 2021. *Global Framework for Digital Electoral Integrity. United Nations Development Programme*.

Warburton, Eve, and Edward Aspinall. 2019. "Explaining Indonesia's Democratic Regression." *Contemporary Southeast Asia* 41(2):255–85.

World Bank. 2023. *Digital Government for Development*.

Zamroni, M. A., Toha, M., Zuana, M. M. M., & Baiqun Isbahi, M. (2023). Exploring Zakat Distribution Via Blockchain in Indonesia Perspective of Maslahah Mursalah Wahbah Zuhaili. *Indonesian Interdisciplinary Journal of Sharia Economics (IIJSE)*, *6*(3), 3544-3555. https://doi.org/10.31538/iijse.v7i3.5821